504

which are not dependent on the hippocampus, and declarative memories (i.e. conscious memories of events, places and general knowledge), which are dependent on the hippocampus. Although both kinds of memory benefit from sleep, they benefit in different ways. Non-declarative memories are often enhanced after a period of rapid eve movement (REM) sleep (see Glossary), such that performance increases from the end of training [6,8,12–15]. By contrast, the sleep-related facilitation of declarative memories usually consists of decreased forgetting after a post-encoding Corresponding author: Mednick, S.C. (smednick@ucsd.edu).

Special Issue: Hippocampus and Memory

# An opportunistic theory of cellular and systems consolidation

### Sara C. Mednick<sup>1</sup>, Denise J. Cai<sup>2</sup>, Tristan Shuman<sup>3</sup>, Stephan Anagnostaras<sup>3</sup> and John T. Wixted<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of California, San Diego, Department of Psychiatry 9116a, 3350 La Jolla Village Drive, San Diego, CA 92116, USA <sup>2</sup> University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Neurobiology, 695 Charles Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA <sup>3</sup> University of California, San Diego, Department of Psychology, 9500 Gilman Drive #0109 La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Memories are often classified as hippocampus dependent or independent, and sleep has been found to facilitate both, but in different ways. In this Opinion, we explore the optimal neural state for cellular and systems consolidation of hippocampus-dependent memories that benefit from sleep. We suggest that these two kinds of consolidation, which are ordinarily treated separately, overlap in time and jointly benefit from a period of reduced interference (during which no new memories are formed). Conditions that result in reduced interference include slow wave sleep (SWS), NMDA receptor antagonists, benzodiazepines, alcohol and acetylcholine antagonists. We hypothesize that the consolidation of hippocampal-dependent memories might not depend on SWS per se. Instead, the brain opportunistically consolidates previously encoded memories whenever the hippocampus is not otherwise occupied by the task of encoding new memories.

#### Introduction

One of the central questions in cognitive neuroscience is: how does the human brain learn new information while not overwriting previously stored memories? Memory models have addressed this so-called 'stability plasticity dilemma' [1] by proposing a post-encoding, offline period that allows the brain to consolidate recent experience into long-term storage [2-4]. Recent models propose that this offline period takes place during sleep [5]. In general agreement with this idea, a large number of behavioral studies have demonstrated that sleep facilitates both non-declarative memories (i.e. non-conscious memories, such as sensorimotor skills, perceptual skills, habits, priming, etc.) [6–11], period of non-REM (nREM) sleep composed of Stage Two and Slow Wave Sleep (SWS) [10,16–19].

These opposing performance outcomes (i.e. enhanced memory performance vs decreased forgetting; Figure 1), raise the possibility that the consolidation of declarative and non-declarative memories rely on distinct mechanisms.

#### Glossary

Cellular consolidation: molecular and cellular processes that stabilize information by strengthening synaptic connections. This is achieved by triggering intracellular signaling cascades and activating transcription factors that lead to changes in gene expression. This process is thought to take up to one day, with much emphasis on the first six hours.

Declarative memory (explicit memory): conscious memory of facts and events. This type of memory is dependent on the hippocampus and other areas of the medial temporal lobe.

Non-declarative memory (implicit memory): unconscious memories, such as habits or skills (e.g. learning to ride a bicycle). This type of memory is typically not dependent on the hippocampus, but rather on a variety of other brain regions, including the PFC

nREM sleep: consists of stages one, two and SWS. Sleep spindles and slow wave activity within nREM might be better markers for physiological changes associated with consolidation than are the sleep stages themselves [125]. However, here we use the term 'SWS', as it is commonly used in the animal literature

Rapid eye movement (REM) sleep: a relatively active sleep characterized by rapid eye movements, low muscle tone and rapid, low-voltage electroencephalogram (EEG) waves

Retroactive interference: new learning that interferes with previously learned information

Retrograde facilitation: enhanced memory performance after an intervention (e.g. drug administration or sleep) following original learning, as compared with a control (e.g. placebo or lack of sleep). The enhanced performance compared with the control usually consists of less forgetting from a prior performance baseline

Sharp waves and ripples: during sleep or quiet rest, the hippocampal EEG shows a pattern of irregular slow waves, somewhat larger in amplitude than theta waves. This pattern is occasionally interrupted by large surges called sharp waves. These events are associated with bursts of spike activity, lasting 50-100 msec, in hippocampal pyramidal cells of the CA1 and CA3 regions. They are also associated with short-lasting high-frequency EEG oscillations called 'ripples', with frequencies in the range 150-200 Hz.

Slow wave activity (SWA): EEG spectral power in the 1-4.5 Hz band.

Slow wave sleep (SWS): also referred to as deep sleep; consists of stages three and four of nREM; that is, EEG waves of duration slower than 4 Hz.

Spindles: a burst of brain activity visible on an EEG that typically occurs during stage 2 sleep. It consists of 12-14 Hz waves that occur for at least 0.5 sec.

Systems consolidation: the process that refers to the time-limited role of the hippocampus in declarative memory storage. Information is originally encoded in both hippocampal and cortical regions. Successive reactivation of this hippocampal-cortical network is presumed to allow new memories to be gradually integrated with pre-existing memories and become independent of the hippocampus. Although this form of consolidation is thought to occur over a time-course lasting weeks, months, or even years, systems consolidation is initiated within the first days after memory encoding.





**Figure 1.** A qualitative model graph that schematically depicts performance changes typically observed in declarative (e.g. verbal memory) and non-declarative (e.g. perceptual learning) memory tasks in human subjects. Changes in memory performance from initial encoding (dotted line) to after a period of slow wave sleep (SWS; red bars), wake (green bars) or rapid eye movement (REM; blue bars) for both declarative memory and non-declarative memory tasks. On declarative memory tasks, performance is always best at initial encoding. Memories show less forgetting after a period of SWS, compared with an equal period of REM or waking (e.g. [10,67,121]). For non-declarative memory, performance shows an absolute improvement in performance compared with encoding, but usually after a period of REM sleep, and not after an equal period of SWS or wake (e.g. [6,8,17]).

With regard to declarative memory, which is the main focus of this Opinion, we ask the question: what are the essential conditions for consolidation to occur? It has previously been proposed that SWS is critical for declarative memory consolidation [20,21]. The viewpoint that we advance here suggests that the critical condition is a period of reduced interference, during which consolidation renders the memory trace less vulnerable to the negative effects of new encoding. In addition, we argue that this process occurs as a result of both cellular and systems consolidation.

#### SWS facilitates declarative memory

A long history of research has consistently shown that a period of SWS yields less forgetting than a comparable period of REM sleep or waking activity [9,10,17,22]. In humans, the first half of the night is rich in SWS, whereas the second half of the night is rich in REM sleep. Previous studies have shown that 4 hours of mostly SWS resulted in less forgetting of a declarative verbal memory task performed earlier in the day than did a comparable period of

REM sleep or waking, which did not differ from each other in terms of performance [9,22]. Similar results were found in a study that controlled for time-of-day and circadian confounds [9]. Subsequent studies replicated the effect [10,17]. Similarly, compared with wake, daytime sleep (naps; consisting of only nREM) showed less forgetting on a declarative paired word associates task, but not on a non-declarative procedural memory task. Furthermore, better performance in this task was positively correlated with minutes of SWS during the nap [23].

Why is SWS good for declarative memory? Several different hypotheses to explain this have been put forward over the years. Here, we briefly outline two hypotheses, namely the Unique-to-sleep consolidation hypothesis and the Passive interference reduction hypothesis, which have been put forth previously in the sleep field, as well as outlining the rationale behind our Opportunistic consolidation hypothesis.

#### Unique-to-sleep consolidation hypothesis

One possibility is that the consolidation of declarative memories depends on neural mechanisms that are unique to SWS [21,24], a view that we refer to as the Unique-to-sleep consolidation hypothesis (Table 1). This view is sometimes called the 'active' hypothesis [24] because sleep is assumed to play an active role in consolidation, but its key feature is the assumption that SWS is an 'essential' [21] or, at least, 'crucial' [25] trigger for systems consolidation. Usually, this viewpoint neither emphasizes an important role for interference reduction, nor considers the role of cellular consolidation during SWS. However, the Unique-to-sleep consolidation hypothesis is an umbrella term for a variety of specific accounts, some of which include considerations similar to the Opportunistic consolidation hypothesis (e.g. one of the aforementioned studies [21] recently proposed that REM is critical for the cellular consolidation of declarative memory and another recent paper [24] included provisions for increased resistance to interference associated with sleep).

#### Opportunistic consolidation hypothesis

Although there is ample evidence to support the importance of SWS in some form of memory consolidation, an alternative hypothesis suggests that SWS is not the only crucial neural state that triggers consolidation. In this hypothesis, which we refer to as the Opportunistic consolidation

Points of emphasis	Competing hypotheses <sup>a</sup>		
	Unique-to-sleep consolidation	Opportunistic consolidation	Passive interference reduction
Less forgetting occurs during periods of reduced encoding (including SWS)		x	x
Consolidation yields better performance than achieved during training	X		
Cellular and systems consolidation occur preferentially during periods of reduced encoding		X	
Systems consolidation occurs specifically during SWS	X		
Consolidation yields increased resistance to interference		x	

<sup>a</sup>The asterisks represent common points of emphasis associated with the different hypotheses.

hypothesis, we argue that the core condition favoring both cellular and systems consolidation might not be sleep per se, but might instead be reduced retroactive interference. More specifically, the Opportunistic consolidation hypothesis posits that declarative memory consolidation processes associated with recently encoded memories are facilitated by a subsequent period of reduced interference (i.e. a post-encoding period during which new memories are not encoded; Table 1). Importantly, this period of reduced interference must occur within a limited temporal window after a memory is encoded to initiate cellular and systems consolidation. Furthermore, this view holds that anything that induces a period of reduced interference (i.e. not only SWS) will benefit the consolidation of recently encoded memories. Along with SWS, quiet wake and anterograde amnesia-inducing drugs [e.g. NMDAR antagonists, alcohol and benzodiazepines] should all facilitate declarative memory by creating favorable conditions (i.e. reduced interference) for both cellular and systems consolidation. We propose that, by virtue of these consolidation processes, the memory trace is rendered more resistant to retroactive interference because of: (i) the stabilization of the representation at local synapses and their cell bodies associated with cellular consolidation; and (ii) the distributed encoding of the representation across different association cortices that occurs as a result of systems consolidation. Importantly, this proposal differs in a critical way from the older Passive interference reduction hypothesis, which we briefly outline below.

#### Passive interference reduction hypothesis

The Passive interference reduction hypothesis posits that sleep has beneficial effects merely because it eliminates interference that would otherwise occur (Table 1) [26]. According to this view, the benefit of sleep results only from a period of reduced retroactive interference, not because reduced interference allows consolidation processes to proceed. The prediction is that sleep (and drugs that produce anterograde amnesia) after learning will lead to less forgetting. However, because consolidation is not a relevant consideration, this account makes no distinction about the timing of the interference period (i.e. a period of reduced interference will have the same effect if it occurs immediately after new encoding or 8 h later).

#### Phases of declarative memory consolidation

The encoding of a memory trace begins with activation of primary and associative cortical areas. The hippocampus integrates information from these distributed cortical structures and rapidly fuses these features into a coherent memory trace. At least two phases of consolidation have been identified, which together trace the process of consolidation from the hippocampus to cortex: cellular and systems consolidation. A review of the phases of consolidation is necessary to understand the effect of SWS and other brain states on memory for previously learned information.

#### Cellular consolidation

Cellular (or molecular) consolidation refers to the molecular and cellular processes that stabilize information by strengthening synaptic connections within the hippocampus and elsewhere [27–30]. Long-term potentiation (LTP), a form of synaptic plasticity, is the leading physiological model for the initial encoding and subsequent stabilization of memory [31] (Figure 2). Indeed, memory acquisition and the induction of LTP share many common features, including the requirement of  $Ca^{2+}$  to enter through postsynaptic NMDARs [32]. Following high levels of glutamate transmission and consequent depolarization,  $Ca^{2+}$  enters the cell and



**Figure 2**. Timeline of cellular and systems consolidation. (a) The hippocampus integrates information about new experience (X) from primary and associative cortical areas and rapidly fuses the features into a coherent memory trace by long-term potentiation (LTP) induction (<sup>h</sup>LTP<sub>i</sub>) and maintenance (<sup>h</sup>LTP<sub>m</sub>) (b). A subsequent new experience (Y) will be encoded by distributed cortical areas, and induce hippocampal LTP. (c) New <sup>h</sup>LTP<sub>i</sub> of Y will interfere with consolidation of X by disrupting LTP maintenance (causing X to be forgotten). A period of reduced hippocampal plasticity (i.e. inhibition of LTP induction and no new memory encoding) by slow wave sleep (SWS), NMDAR antagonists, amnesia-inducing drugs or quiet rest results in retrograde facilitation (i.e. less forgetting) of X and sets the stage for the onset of cortical neural replay and induction of cortical LTP (<sup>c</sup>LTP<sub>i</sub>) for events associated with the encoding of experience X. (d) Neural replay is the reactivation of memory traces [54] by means of hippocampal sharp wave and/or ripple complexes [53] that occur during periods of reduced hippocampal plasticity. (e) The final stage of consolidation is the maintenance of LTP in the cortex (<sup>c</sup>LTP<sub>m</sub>), which is essential for long-term memory storage [124].

acts as an intracellular messenger, activating numerous signaling pathways that lead to LTP. These changes ultimately lead to the insertion of additional AMPA receptors into dendritic membranes [33] and morphological changes in dendritic spines [34]. In addition, other factors, such as monoamines, play a key role in modulating LTP and possibly in activating the cellular cascade leading to stable LTP [35]. When NMDARs, calcium signaling or other early aspects of this process are disrupted, LTP induction and memory acquisition fail [27,36]. When transcription factors, new protein synthesis or other late aspects of this process are disrupted, LTP forms but is unstable; likewise, memory is acquired but quickly forgotten [37] (Figure 3). This process of molecular consolidation is thought to take up to one day, with much emphasis on the first six hours [38,39].

Significantly, the induction and maintenance of LTP can be dissociated, as drugs that inhibit induction do not necessarily interfere with the maintenance of prior LTP [40,41]. In particular, the application of an NMDAR antagonist prior to induction blocks the formation of new LTP, but has little or no effect when applied after induction [42]. However, a critical consideration for our theory is that new hippocampal LTP induction (and the formation of new memories) can interfere with the maintenance of older LTP (and with the retention of older memories). For example, memories formed in the hippocampus and LTP induced in the hippocampus both exhibit a similar temporal gradient with respect to interference from new learning (i.e. retroactive interference) [43,44]. Specifically, subsequent learning interferes with original learning if the time between them is relatively short (e.g. 1 h), but less interference is observed when the time between them is relatively long (e.g. > 6 h; Figure 2) [43,44]. When the time between learning and interference is long, the processes associated with LTP are given time to occur, and the trace becomes less vulnerable to the interfering effects of new learning (i.e. it becomes stabilized). These findings suggest that the neural representation of an experience encoded in the hippocampus is vulnerable to the interfering effects of new learning but might become less so after cellular consolidation has had a chance to run its course [45,46]. One key assumption of the Opportunistic consolidation hypothesis is that behavioral states or drugs that selectively minimize new learning should facilitate cellular consolidation.

#### Systems consolidation

Systems consolidation refers to the time-limited role of the hippocampus in declarative memory storage [47,48].



Figure 3. Working model to illustrate encoding and consolidation states during memory formation. These states are not assumed to operate in parallel at full efficiency. Instead, (a) shows how the encoding of new memories (i.e. second encoding, light-red solid circles indicate newly encoded information that is still vulnerable to interference, whereas circles filled with dark red or blue indicate memories that have already been degraded by new encoding) during the active wake state takes priority and inhibits cellular and systems consolidation of recently formed memories (i.e. first encoding), which leads to increased forgetting. During the consolidating state (b), the hippocampus has low input from the cortex and low synaptic plasticity (i.e. the interfering episode encoding is blocked), allowing for recent memories oundergo cellular and systems consolidation. These conditions are present during slow wave sleep (SWS), NMDAR antagonists and some amnesia-inducing drugs. Hence, we propose that conditions allow the processes of consolidation to unfold opportunistically, resulting in resistance to interference (indicated by the bold connection lines), as compared with equivalent periods of awake or rapid eve movement (REM) sleep. Adapted from [31].

Through successive reactivation of the hippocampalcortical network, new memories are presumed to be gradually integrated with pre-existing memories and become independent of the hippocampus [2,47,49]. Although this form of consolidation is thought to occur over a timecourse lasting weeks, months, or even years, systems consolidation is initiated within the first days after encoding [50].

One of the leading candidate mechanisms underlying systems consolidation is neural replay (Figure 2), a process that has been observed in studies of rodent spatial memory. Specifically, place cells that are activated in sequence together during a learning episode tend to fire in a similar sequence during sleep [51]. Studies have shown: (i) hippocampal replay during SWS in rats is coordinated with firing patterns in the visual cortex [52]; (ii) the hippocampus and cortex appear to communicate during sleep by means of hippocampal sharp waves or ripples [53], during which place cells are reactivated [54]; and (iii) these events are temporally correlated with spindles in the medial prefrontal cortex (PFC) during SWS [55].

Systems consolidation has the effect of rendering the memory trace independent of the hippocampus. By encoding the memory trace in a distributed fashion in the cortex [56], systems consolidation (like cellular consolidation) might also have the effect of rendering the trace more resistant to interference (Figure 3). In this case, however, the increased resistance to interference results from the fact that subsequently encoded memories are less likely to compete for the same neural pathways that were used to consolidate previously encoded memories.

Consistent with this idea, the cue-dependent reactivation of memories during SWS was recently found to increase the resistance of those memories to subsequent interference [57]. By contrast, the same manipulation had the opposite effect during the awake state [58]. Reconsolidation theory holds that cuing memories destabilizes them until they can again be consolidated. Conceivably, a reactivated memory is destabilized in the sense that it again becomes vulnerable to interference caused by the encoding of subsequent memories. During SWS, however, reactivated memories would not suffer the effects of interference as they are encoded in cortical areas, rendering them less vulnerable to interference [57]. Although not tested in this experiment, we would predict that the cuedependent reactivation of memories during an awake state that did not involve the encoding of other memories would have the same beneficial effect that was seen when memories were activated during SWS.

Cellular and systems consolidation are usually discussed separately, but they might be coupled processes. For example, in hippocampal slices, the induction of LTP (normally discussed in terms of cellular consolidation) leads to spontaneous sharp wave activity (a process ordinarily associated with systems consolidation) [58]. If cellular and systems consolidation are coupled processes, then one might expect to find that the conditions that favor cellular consolidation would also favor systems consolidation. We suggest that those conditions involve reduced interference, and SWS appears to be one such condition. The role of SWS in cellular and systems consolidation SWS has been shown to reduce experience-dependent hippocampal plasticity, thereby inhibiting the formation of new memories. For example, in sleeping rats, LTP can be readily induced during REM sleep, but is much less readily induced during SWS [59]. Similar to NMDAR antagonists, SWS inhibits the induction of LTP, but does not disrupt the maintenance or persistence of previously induced LTP [60]. By contrast, regarding synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus, REM sleep is similar to the awake state, as LTP can be readily induced [60]. Similarly, associative learning is impaired during SWS but not during REM. For example, in a classical conditioning paradigm using brain stimulation as the conditioned stimulus (CS) and unconditioned stimulus (US), with multiunit firing of hippocampal neurons as a measure of associative learning, paired CS-US brain stimulation resulted in increased hippocampal firing in response to the CS during REM and wake, but not during SWS [61]. Thus, it appears that SWS is a period of reduced hippocampal plasticity in rodents. As a consequence, few memories should be formed during SWS, compared with REM or wake, even though considerable mental activity occurs during SWS [62].

If memories are not encoded during SWS, then posttraining SWS should be conducive to the cellular consolidation of both LTP and memories formed during training, just as post-training NMDAR antagonists are often conducive to both. LTP induced during wakefulness is later fully expressed during SWS [60], which is consistent with our proposed view. However, it is not known if SWS is more protective of previously induced LTP than a corresponding period of wakefulness or if a temporal gradient of interference reduction (with SWS being more protective of LTP soon after induction) is observed, as our hypothesis predicts. With regard to its effect on declarative memory, SWS has in fact been shown to have such effects. Sleep studies with humans have reported a temporal gradient of interference that is similar to the gradient obtained in studies of the effect of NMDAR antagonists in rats discussed earlier. Using a 24-h retention interval between initial learning and a subsequent memory test, these studies showed that sleep soon after learning is more beneficial than sleep that is delayed [63], even after controlling for circadian confounds [64,65]. The temporal gradient associated with sleep is consistent with the notion that reduced hippocampal plasticity protects recently formed and still-fragile memories, giving them a chance to become hardened against the forces of retroactive interference as cellular consolidation unfolds.

In addition to benefiting cellular consolidation, the absence of encoding during SWS might also be conducive to neural replay and systems consolidation of memories formed prior to sleep. Indeed, the coordinated replay of place-cell activity has often been reported during SWS in rodents [52,54,55]. Analogously, in a positron emission tomography (PET) study with humans, hippocampal areas that were activated during a route-learning task were reactivated during subsequent SWS [66]. Interventions designed to enhance reactivation during SWS have also been shown to affect consolidation. In a functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study with humans, cuing

recently formed odor-associated memories by odor re-exposure during SWS (but not during REM sleep) prompted hippocampal activation during sleep, resulting in less forgetting after sleep, compared with a control group [67]. Overnight sleep increased the integration of newly learned words into the mental lexicon (i.e. lexical competition effect), a measure of systems consolidation, and the degree of lexical competition correlated with sleep spindles during nREM sleep [68]. Similarly, transcranial direct current stimulation of delta activity (<3 Hz) during SWS significantly decreased forgetting in declarative memory but not procedural memory performance [69]. Thus, these results do not show that systems consolidation improves the fidelity of the memory trace (i.e. increased retention from baseline was not observed). Instead, SWS appears to protect new memories by consolidating them in a lowinterference storage site (such as the cortex).

Computational models of the role of the hippocampus in declarative memory posit that the degree to which new encoding interferes with recently learned material is related to the amount of overlap in the synaptic representations of successive experiences, with greater overlap leading to greater interference [70-73]. It is therefore possible that the mechanism by which SWS improves retention during reactivation involves facilitating the consolidation of multiple memories in a more distributed, nonoverlapping fashion in the cortex. If so, then the reduced interference associated with SWS might render memory traces more resistant to interference in two (related) ways by: (i) allowing the molecular processes associated with cellular consolidation to proceed undisrupted; and (ii) facilitating the activation (and reactivation) of the distributed network between the hippocampus and various cortical areas through the processes associated with systems consolidation (Figure 3).

#### Quiet wake: the resting mind at replay

Much of the earlier work on neural replay documented its occurrence during SWS, which was consistent with the idea that this form of consolidation might have been sleep specific. However, recent evidence suggests that replay of recent [54,74,75] and future experiences [74,76], as well as remote replay (i.e. replay of sequential place-cell firing that occurred earlier in a different environment) can also occur during the awake resting state [77–79]. These findings suggest that the hippocampus takes advantage of any down-time (including, but not limited to, SWS) to consolidate memory. That is to say, the processes that underlie systems consolidation might unfold whenever the hippocampus is not encoding new memories (e.g. [53]).

Indeed, prior studies have suggested that hippocampal plasticity is reduced during quiet wake just as it is during SWS [80,81]. Thus, we argue that reduced experiencedependent hippocampal plasticity is what allows cellular consolidation mechanisms to evolve without interference and simultaneously sets the stage for systems consolidation mechanisms to become operative. Several recent human studies have indicated that quiet wake, a non-sleep resting state with reduced encoding and interference, can induce a similar behavioral enhancement as sleep. In a study using a hippocampus-dependent visual search task

[82,83], similar learning profiles were reported for the nap and quiet wake group, but the active wake group showed less learning [8]. The authors hypothesized that guiet wake mimicked the dampened encoding found in SWS, which facilitated consolidation. Importantly, most sleep studies compare sleep with an active wake period as the control, which does not control for waking experiences that could potentially cause interference with recently encoding memories. The few studies that have compared quiet wake with sleep have reported similar memory gains in both groups [84,85]. In a similar vein, hippocampal-cortical connectivity in humans, as measured by blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) fMRI, during quiet wake immediately following a learning task was enhanced compared with a pre-task resting baseline [86]. Furthermore, individual differences in the magnitude of post-task functional connectivity were predictive of later associative memory performance [85]. Thus, data from both rodent and human studies are consistent with our hypothesis that hippocampal-based memory consolidation utilizes optimal brain states to process prior learning, and is not specific to sleep per se.

#### Pharmacologically induced SWS-like neural states

According to the Opportunistic consolidation hypothesis, neither SWS nor quiet rest uniquely trigger consolidation processes. Instead, they facilitate consolidation because they are both associated with reduced hippocampal plasticity (and, therefore, reduced encoding of new memories). Amnesia-inducing drugs, such as alcohol, benzodiazepines and acetylcholine antagonists, modulate memory performance similarly to sleep, perhaps also owing to reduced hippocampal plasticity [87]. That is, these drugs might selectively block the encoding of new memories, which might set the stage for cellular and systems consolidation of memories that were encoded in the recent past.

With respect to ethanol, multiple studies in rodents and humans have demonstrated that it can impair the acquisition of new information when administered before learning [88-91]. However, when taken after learning, alcohol facilitates memory performance more than placebo, in the sense that less forgetting is observed [92,93]. Similarly, benzodiazepines GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor allosteric modulators), have been shown to protect memory for information learned prior to drug administration and, hence, only induce anterograde amnesia [94-96]. A combination of scopolamine [a muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (AchR) antagonist] and mecamylamine (a nicotinic AchR antagonist) produced retrograde facilitation of word pairs compared with placebo, as well as decreasing the acquisition of new words [97]. Consistent with the interference literature, the enhancement of memory consists of less forgetting in the drug group compared with placebo (not an absolute increase in performance relative to prior performance).

It seems unlikely that amnesia-inducing drugs yield less forgetting of previously encoded memories by directly enhancing the consolidation process. This is because direct enhancement of consolidation would be expected to also yield anterograde facilitation (i.e. the enhancement of memories learned after the drug is taken), not anterograde amnesia. Instead of these drugs directly augmenting consolidation processes, we hypothesize that the mechanism by which they retroactively facilitate memory involves reduced experience-dependent hippocampal plasticity. The reduced encoding of new memories indirectly facilitates cellular and systems consolidation processes by allowing them to proceed opportunistically for memories that were encoded in the recent past. In agreement with these considerations, ethanol produces a dose-dependent suppression on the magnitude of LTP following high frequency stimulation in acute hippocampal rodent slices [98], but it specifically blocks the induction of LTP, and not the expression or maintenance of previously induced LTP [89]. Thus, it seems reasonable to propose that ethanol might facilitate cellular consolidation. Benzodiazepines also block the induction of hippocampal LTP [99], as do AchR antagonists [100]. Thus, using LTP as a model, alcohol, benzodiazepines and AchR antagonists would be expected to (and do) induce anterograde amnesia, which would (according to our theory) protect older memories from retroactive interference, thereby giving them a chance to undergo cellular consolidation (Figure 2).

Anterograde amnesia might also disinhibit systems consolidation processes (such as neural replay) by quieting hippocampal encoding activity and allowing for hippocampal-cortical dialog associated with previously encoded memories [53]. The results of a recent study [101], which trained rats to learn three new goal locations in an open field each day, is in agreement with this view. Goal-related place-cell replay activity during rest (sleep was not measured) generally corresponded with the newly learned locations. However, the use of an NMDAR antagonist resulted in rapid forgetting of new locations as well as place-cell replay activity that corresponded to the goal locations of the previous day [101]. Consistent with these data, studies of amnesics show that delaying a post-encoding period of interference improves recall of words, compared with no delay [102].

Although parallels can be drawn between SWS, quiet wake and amnesia-inducing drugs with regard to their apparent effect on cellular consolidation, it is not vet known whether amnesia-inducing drugs also liberate processes associated with systems consolidation. For example, it is not known whether benzodiazepines and alcohol facilitate communication between the hippocampus and cortex via hippocampal sharp waves and/or ripples and cortical spindles. We speculate that, similar to SWS, amnesiainducing drugs that selectively block the encoding of new memories might, indeed, trigger the switch between encoding and consolidation. That is, the Opportunistic consolidation hypothesis holds that a temporary period of anterograde amnesia might be the common denominator that ties together a variety of conditions that are favorable to consolidation. Future studies are needed to test this hypothesis.

## Acetylcholine: a modulator of declarative memory consolidation

What is the underlying mechanism that might be supporting the switch between encoding and consolidation? In a two-stage model [53,103], ACh signaling functions as a switch between inflow (encoding or write-in) and outflow (consolidating or write-out) modes of the hippocampus. In the encoding state, high cholinergic activation, such as during active awake and REM sleep [104], when theta rhythm is present [105,106], sets the appropriate hippocampal dynamics for inflow of information by suppressing communication from the hippocampus to the cortex [107]. At low cholinergic activation, such as during quiet wake and SWS, when hippocampal sharp waves are present [53], there is a release from cholinergic suppression. This permits outflow of information from the hippocampus to the cortex [103]. Thus, according to this view, the consolidating state is not specific to sleep, but does occur during sleep. Critically, the encoding and consolidating states are also associated with characteristic rhythmic activity, and a basic assumption of this account is that communication between the hippocampus and cortex is mediated by coordinated oscillatory rhythms across different structures of the brain [108]. A similar suggestion has been made in computational models of consolidation [5].

Lower levels of ACh prevail during quiet wake and SWS [109], and this is thought to shift the hippocampus into the consolidating state [97]. In this state, activity along input pathways (ordinarily facilitated by cholinergic-driven theta rhythm) is suppressed and hippocampal plasticity is low (i.e. hippocampal LTP is not readily induced). As such, and as indicated earlier, recently induced LTP would be protected from interference and would be given a chance to stabilize as the process of cellular consolidation unfolds. In addition, under these conditions, the cortex is characterized by low frequency spindle (i.e. 7-14 Hz) and delta (i.e. <4 Hz) oscillations [110], whereas the hippocampus is associated with a more broad-spectrum pattern punctuated by brief, high frequency sharp waves (i.e. 301 Hz) and very high frequency ripples (approximately 200 Hz) [53]. These sharp wave oscillations occur within the hippocampal-entorhinal output network. Synchronized neural discharges tend to occur along this pathway during sharpwave and/or ripple events [111,112]. Thus, once again, rhythmic activity seems to coordinate communication between adjacent brain structures.

Such communication has also been found to occur between more distant brain structures. For example, ripples observed during hippocampal sharp waves have been found to be correlated with the occurrence of spindles in the PFC [55]. Moreover, the neural replay discussed earlier preferentially takes place during the highfrequency bursts of spindle waves [113]. All of this suggests that rhythmically based feedback activity from the hippocampus serves to 'train' the cortex and thus facilitate the process of systems consolidation. Importantly, this brain state is not specific to SWS but also generalizes to quiet wake. If the cessation of encoding triggers this ACh-modulated switch between encoding and consolidation, then one might predict that this same switch would be triggered by other states of reduced encoding, such as pharmacologically induced states of anterograde amnesia. Interestingly, the drugs that would be expected to have this effect are those that selectively inhibit the encoding of new memories.

#### Synaptic tagging and capture

According to our hypothesis, retroactive interference occurs when newly encoded memories usurp resources that might otherwise be used to consolidate previously encoded memories. Under some conditions, however, a new memory might have the opposite effect of providing consolidation resources to weak memories [114-116]. The synaptic tagging and capture hypothesis posits that early LTP produced by weak stimulation can be converted into late LTP when a strong stimulus is delivered either before or after the early LTP (i.e. the consolidation of weak event A would be enhanced if preceded or followed by strong event B) [117]. The analog to this is behavioral tagging and capture, where a short-term memory (e.g. a weak fear conditioning paradigm that lasts a few hours) can be converted into a long-term memory (e.g. 24 h) if preceded or followed by a long-term memory [118,119]. The idea is that a weak memory alone is insufficient to induce the molecular cascades leading to cellular memory consolidation. However, when another strong memory elicits such molecular cascades, it allows the weak memory to share resources (i.e. plasticity-related proteins) before it decays, thereby allowing it to consolidate into a long-term memory.

Interestingly, this intriguing result stands in apparent contrast to many other results in animals [43,44] and humans [102,120–122] that show that interference is often observed under conditions similar to those that enhance the durability of weak memory in tagging and capture studies. Thus, considered in that light, the tagging and capture hypothesis might not always readily explain all phenomena. The time interval between the two tasks might be a critical variable and, thus, will be an important issue to address in future studies.

#### **Concluding remarks**

In summary, we propose that it is not a coincidence that the mechanisms of cellular and systems consolidation are favored during periods of reduced encoding and hippocampal plasticity (including, but not limited to, SWS). According to the Opportunistic consolidation hypothesis that we put forth here, both phases of consolidation are compromised when hippocampal encoding mechanisms are engaged (i.e. when new memories are being formed). Furthermore, when hippocampal encoding mechanisms are disengaged without compromising hippocampal consolidation mechanisms, the consolidation mechanisms are released (Figure 3). We hypothesize that cellular and systems consolidation might be closely connected processes that unfold together in the hours after learning (with systems consolidation continuing for an extended period of time thereafter), rather than considering them as processes that unfold independently of each other on vastly different timescales. If so, this might explain why the conditions that favor one form of consolidation also appear to favor the other (Figure 3). According to the Opportunistic consolidation hypothesis, these potentially related consolidation processes preferentially unfold in an opportunistic fashion whenever the hippocampus is disengaged from the process of encoding new memories. Moreover, according to this view, the processes of declarative memory consolidation do not strengthen memories, such that performance is enhanced from a baseline (Figure 1).

#### **Box 1. Outstanding questions**

- What are the conditions under which subsequent learning interferes with prior learning (i.e. retroactive interference) versus the conditions under which subsequent learning enables consolidation of prior learning? Do both of these involve mechanisms of synaptic and/or behavioral tagging and capture?
- Are the cellular- and systems-level mechanisms that underlie retrograde facilitation associated with SWS similar to the mechanisms that underlie retrograde facilitation associated with alcohol and benzodiazepines? Do they exhibit a temporal gradient?
- Is reduced encoding or reduced hippocampal plasticity sufficient to trigger hippocampal-neocortical replay?
- Unlike in the hippocampus, can LTP be readily induced in the cortex during SWS? What about under conditions of quiet wake, alcohol and benzodiazepines?
- Is synaptic downscaling [126] a possible mechanism of reduced retroactive interference caused by a post-encoding period of SWS, alcohol, or benzodiazepines?
- For the consolidation of declarative memory, is it the stage of sleep (i.e. SWS) that is important or the amount of SWA and sleep spindles across all stages?
- For the consolidation of non-declarative memory, is it the stage of sleep (i.e. REM) that is important or the amount of pontogeniculooccipital waves and theta oscillations across all stages?
- Is the temporal order of the different sleep stages (i.e. SWS always preceding REM sleep) of functional benefit for learning and memory? For example, is it of importance to avoid interferencedriven amnesia (i.e. catastrophic forgetting)?

Instead, as forgetting occurs (i.e. as memories weaken), both cellular and systems consolidation serve to render those memories more resistant to interference caused by the encoding of subsequent memories. These considerations suggest that, for declarative memory, the issues of consolidation and interference are intimately connected, although future studies are needed to address this directly (Box 1).

A reduction in interference might also play a key role in the consolidation of non-declarative memories. However, in that case, the behavioral phenomenon to be explained (i.e. an absolute enhancement in performance; Figure 1) is different, also suggesting different consolidation mechanisms. We assume that non-declarative memory benefits when the cortex is released from input (which comes from sensory processing during the awake state or from hippocampus-cortex neural replay during SWS). Under these conditions of reduced input, combined with a cortically activated state relative to SWS and, in some cases waking [123], cortical circuits might be free to extrapolate, which could account for the absolute enhancement of transitive inference [11], creativity [8] and perceptual learning [6] as a function of REM sleep. However, the story seems quite different for declarative memory. In our view, it is not sleep per se that is essential for the systems consolidation of declarative memory. Instead, we suggest that cellular and systems consolidation are interlinked, opportunistic processes that capitalize on periods of reduced encoding to render recently learned memories more resistant to interference.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (K01 MH080992 and NIMH T32-MH015795-30) and a UCSD Interdisciplinary Collaboratory Grant. We thank William Alaynick for design consultation.

#### Trends in Neurosciences October 2011, Vol. 34, No. 10

#### Opinion

#### References

- 1 Carpenter, G.A. and Grossberg, S. (1988) The art of adaptive pattern recognition by a self-organizing neural network. *Computer* 21, 77–88
- 2 McClelland, J.L. *et al.* (1995) Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory. *Psychol. Rev.* 102, 419–457
- 3 O'Reilly, R.C. and Norman, K.A. (2002) Hippocampal and neocortical contributions to memory: advances in the complementary learning systems framework. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 6, 505–510
- 4 Norman, K.A. and O'Reilly, R.C. (2003) Modeling hippocampal and neocortical contributions to recognition memory: a complementarylearning-systems approach. *Psychol. Rev.* 110, 611-646
- 5 Norman, K.A. *et al.* (2005) Methods for reducing interference in the Complementary Learning Systems model: oscillating inhibition and autonomous memory rehearsal. *Neural Netw.* 18, 1212–1228
- 6 Mednick, S. et al. (2003) Sleep-dependent learning: a nap is as good as a night. Nat. Neurosci. 6, 697–698
- 7 Nishida, M. and Walker, M.P. (2007) Daytime naps, motor memory consolidation and regionally specific sleep spindles. *PLoS ONE* 2, e341
- 8 Cai, D.J. et al. (2009) REM, not incubation, improves creativity by priming associative networks. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 10130–10134
- 9 Barrett, T.R. and Ekstrand, B.R. (1972) Effect of sleep on memory. 3. Controlling for time-of-day effects. J. Exp. Psychol. 96, 321-327
- 10 Plihal, W. and Born, J. (1997) Effects of early and late nocturnal sleep on declarative and procedural memory. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 9, 534–547
- 11 Ellenbogen, J.M. et al. (2007) Human relational memory requires time and sleep. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 7723–7728
- 12 Mednick, S.C. et al. (2002) Restorative effect of naps on perceptual deterioration. Nat Neurosci. 5, 677–681
- 13 Mednick, S.C. et al. (2008) Perceptual deterioration is reflected in the neural response: fMRI study of nappers and non-nappers. Perception 37, 1086–1097
- 14 Karni, A.T. et al. (1994) Dependence on REM sleep of overnight improvement of a perceptual skill [see comments]. Science 265, 679– 682
- 15 Fosse, R. et al. (2000) Reciprocal variations in thoughts and hallucinations across wake-sleep states. Sleep 23 (Suppl.), A178–A179
- 16 Fowler, M.J. et al. (1973) Sleep and memory. Science 179, 302-304
- 17 Plihal, W. and Born, J. (1999) Effects of early and late nocturnal sleep on priming and spatial memory. *Psychophysiology* 36, 571–582
- 18 Comte, J.C. et al. (2006) Sleep dynamics: a self-organized critical system. Phys. Rev. E 73, 056127
- 19 Takashima, A. et al. (2006) Declarative memory consolidation in humans: a prospective functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 756–761
- 20 Gais, S. and Born, J. (2004) Low acetylcholine during slow-wave sleep is critical for declarative memory consolidation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 101, 2140–2144
- 21 Diekelmann, S. and Born, J. (2010) The memory function of sleep. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 11, 114–126
- 22 Yaroush, R. *et al.* (1971) Effect of sleep on memory. II. Differential effect of the first and second half of the night. *J. Exp. Psychol.* 88, 361–366
- 23 Tucker, M.A. et al. (2006) A daytime nap containing solely non-REM sleep enhances declarative but not procedural memory. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem. 86, 241–247
- 24 Ellenbogen, J.M. et al. (2006) The role of sleep in declarative memory consolidation: passive, permissive, active or none? Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 16, 716–722
- 25 Stickgold, R. and Walker, M.P. (2005) Memory consolidation and reconsolidation: what is the role of sleep? *Trends Neurosci.* 28, 408–415
- 26 Jenkins, J.G. and Dallenbach, K.M. (1924) Oblivescence during sleep and waking. Am. J. Psychol. 32, 605–612
- 27 Wang, S.H. and Morris, R.G. (2010) Hippocampal-neocortical interactions in memory formation, consolidation, and reconsolidation. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 61, 49–79 C1–4
- 28 Barrientos, R.M. et al. (2009) Time course of hippocampal IL-1 beta and memory consolidation impairments in aging rats following peripheral infection. Brain Behav. Immun. 23, 46–54
- 29 Nader, K. et al. (2000) Fear memories require protein synthesis in the amygdala for reconsolidation after retrieval. Nature 406, 722–726

- 30 Frankland, P.W. and Bontempi, B. (2005) The organization of recent and remote memories. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 6, 119-210
- 31 Borgen, L.A. et al. (2003) The influence of gender and food on the pharmacokinetics of sodium oxybate oral solution in healthy subjects. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 43, 59–65
- 32 Bliss, T.V. and Collingridge, G.L. (1993) A synaptic model of memory: long-term potentiation in the hippocampus. *Nature* 361, 31–39
- 33 Alexinsky, T. (2001) Differential effect of thalamic and cortical lesions on memory systems in the rat. Behav. Brain Res. 122, 175–191
- 34 Yuste, R. and Bonhoeffer, T. (2001) Morphological changes in dendritic spines associated with long-term synaptic plasticity. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 24, 1071–1089
- 35 Jay, T.M. (2003) Dopamine: a potential substrate for synaptic plasticity and memory mechanisms. Prog. Neurobiol. 69, 375–390
- 36 Merino, S.M. and Maren, S. (2006) Hitting Ras where it counts: Ras antagonism in the basolateral amygdala inhibits long-term fear memory. Eur. J. Neurosci. 23, 196–204
- 37 Kida, S. et al. (2002) CREB required for the stability of new and reactivated fear memories. Nat. Neurosci. 5, 348-355
- 38 Alberini, C.M. (2005) Mechanisms of memory stabilization: are consolidation and reconsolidation similar or distinct processes? *Trends Neurosci.* 28, 51–56
- 39 Bourtchouladze, R. *et al.* (1998) Different training procedures recruit either one or two critical periods for contextual memory consolidation, each of which requires protein synthesis and PKA. *Learn. Mem.* 5, 365– 374
- 40 Fujii, S. et al. (2008) Acute and chronic ethanol exposure differentially affect induction of hippocampal LTP. Brain Res. 1211, 13–21
- 41 Maubach, K.A. et al. (2004) Triazolam suppresses the induction of hippocampal long-term potentiation. NeuroReport 15, 1145-1149
- 42 Collingridge, G. (1987) Synaptic plasticity. The role of NMDA receptors in learning and memory. *Nature* 330, 604–605
- 43 Xu, L. et al. (1998) Spatial exploration induces a persistent reversal of long-term potentiation in rat hippocampus. Nature 394, 891–894
- 44 Izquierdo, I. *et al.* (1999) Novelty causes time-dependent retrograde amnesia for one-trial avoidance in rats through NMDA receptor- and CaMKII-dependent mechanisms in the hippocampus. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 11, 3323–3328
- 45 Abraham, W.C. *et al.* (2002) Induction and experience-dependent consolidation of stable long-term potentiation lasting months in the hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 9626–9634
- 46 Villarreal, D.M. et al. (2002) NMDA receptor antagonists sustain LTP and spatial memory: active processes mediate LTP decay. Nat. Neurosci. 5, 48–52
- 47 Alvarez, P. *et al.* (1995) Damage limited to the hippocampal region produces long-lasting memory impairment in monkeys. *J. Neurosci.* 15, 3796–3807
- 48 Baddeley, A. et al. (2000) The brain decade in debate: I. Neurobiology of learning and memory. Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res. 33, 993–1002
- 49 Anagnostaras, S.G. *et al.* (2001) Hippocampus and contextual fear conditioning: recent controversies and advances. *Hippocampus* 11, 8–17
- 50 Balas, M. et al. (2007) Interference to consolidation phase gains in learning a novel movement sequence by handwriting: dependence on laterality and the level of experience with the written sequence. Exp. Brain Res. 180, 237–246
- 51 Laureys, S. et al. (2001) Experience-dependent changes in cerebral functional connectivity during human rapid eye movement sleep. *Neuroscience* 105, 521–525
- 52 Ji, D. and Wilson, M.A. (2007) Coordinated memory replay in the visual cortex and hippocampus during sleep. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 100–107
- 53 Buzsáki, G. (1989) Two-stage model of memory trace formation: a role for 'noisy' brain states. *Neuroscience* 31, 551–570
- 54 Diba, K. and Buzsaki, G. (2007) Forward and reverse hippocampal place-cell sequences during ripples. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 1241–1242
- 55 Siapas, A.G. and Wilson, M.A. (1998) Coordinated interactions between hippocampal ripples and cortical spindles during slow-wave sleep. *Neuron* 21, 1123–1128
- 56 McClelland, J.L. and Goddard, N.H. (1996) Considerations arising from a complementary learning systems perspective on hippocampus and neocortex. *Hippocampus* 6, 654–665

- 57 Diekelmann, S. *et al.* (2011) Labile or stable: opposing consequences for memory when reactivated during waking and sleep. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 381–386
- 58 Behrens, C.J. et al. (2005) Induction of sharp wave-ripple complexes in vitro and reorganization of hippocampal networks. Nat. Neurosci. 8, 1560–1567
- 59 Leonard, B.J. et al. (1987) Suppression of hippocampal synaptic plasticity during slow-wave sleep. Brain Res. 425, 174–177
- 60 Bramham, C.R. and Srebro, B. (1989) Synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus is modulated by behavioral state. *Brain Res.* 493, 74–86
- 61 Maho, C. and Bloch, V. (1992) Responses of hippocampal cells can be conditioned during paradoxical sleep. *Brain Res.* 581, 115–122
- 62 Fosse, R. et al. (2004) Thinking and hallucinating: reciprocal changes in sleep. Psychophysiology 41, 298–305
- 63 Ekstrand, B.R. (1972) To sleep, perchance to dream (about why we forget). In Human Memory: Festschrift in Honor of Benton J. Underwood (Duncan, C.P. et al., eds), pp. 59–82, Appelton-Century-Crofts
- 64 Gais, S. et al. (2006) Sleep-dependent surges in growth hormone do not contribute to sleep-dependent memory consolidation. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 31, 786–791
- 65 Talamini, L.M. et al. (2008) Sleep directly following learning benefits consolidation of spatial associative memory. Learn. Mem. 15, 233–237
- 66 Peigneux, P. *et al.* (2004) Are spatial memories strengthened in the human hippocampus during slow wave sleep? *Neuron* 44, 535–545
- 67 Rasch, B. et al. (2007) Odor cues during slow-wave sleep prompt declarative memory consolidation. Science 315, 1426–1429
- 68 Tamminen, J. et al. (2011) Sleep spindle activity is associated with the integration of new memories and existing knowledge. J. Neurosci. 30, 14356–14360
- 69 Marshall, L. et al. (2004) Transcranial direct current stimulation during sleep improves declarative memory. J. Neurosci. 24, 9985–9992
- 70 McNaughton, B.L. and Morris, R.G.M. (1987) Hippocampal synaptic enhancement and information storage within a distributed memory system. *Trends Neurosci.* 10, 408–415
- 71 O'Reilly, R.C. and McClelland, J.L. (1994) Hippocampal conjunctive encoding, storage, and recall: avoiding a trade-off. *Hippocampus* 4, 661–682
- 72 Hinton, G.A. and Anderson, J.A. (1989) Parallel Models of Associative Memory, Lawrence Arlbaum Associates
- 73 Duncan, J. (1996) Cooperating brain systems in selective perception and action. In Attention and Performance (Inui, T. and McClelland, J.L., eds), pp. 549–578, MIT Press
- 74 Johnson, A. and Redish, A.D. (2007) Neural ensembles in CA3 transiently encode paths forward of the animal at a decision point. J. Neurosci. 27, 12176–12189
- 75 Foster, D.J. and Wilson, M.A. (2006) Reverse replay of behavioural sequences in hippocampal place cells during the awake state. *Nature* 440, 680–683
- 76 Dragoi, G. and Tonegawa, S. (2011) Preplay of future place cell sequences by hippocampal cellular assemblies. *Nature* 469, 397–401
- 77 Davidson, T.J. et al. (2009) Hippocampal replay of extended experience. Neuron 63, 497–507
- 78 Karlsson, M.P. and Frank, L.M. (2009) Awake replay of remote experiences in the hippocampus. Nat. Neurosci. 12, 913–918
- 79 Nakashiba, T. *et al.* (2009) Hippocampal CA3 output is crucial for ripple-associated reactivation and consolidation of memory. *Neuron* 62, 781–787
- 80 Eichenbaum, H.B. et al. (1999) Learning and memory: system analysis. In Fundamental Neuroscience (Zigmond, M.J. et al., eds), pp. 1445– 1486, Academic Press
- 81 Vanderwolf, C.H. et al. (1977) Hippocampal electrical activity during waking behaviour and sleep: analyses using centrally acting drugs. *Ciba Found. Symp.* 199–226
- 82 Greene, A.J. (2007) Human hippocampal-dependent tasks: is awareness necessary or sufficient? *Hippocampus* 17, 429–433
- 83 Clark, R.E. et al. (2001) Trace and delay eyeblink conditioning: contrasting phenomena of declarative and nondeclarative memory. *Psychol. Sci.* 12, 304–308
- 84 Bohbot, V.D. et al. (1998) Spatial memory deficits in patients with lesions to the right hippocampus and to the right parahippocampal cortex. Neuropsychologia 36, 1217–1238

- 85 Gottselig, J.M. et al. (2004) Sleep and rest facilitate auditory learning. Neuroscience 127, 557–561
- 86 Tambini, A. et al. (2010) Enhanced brain correlations during rest are related to memory for recent experiences. Neuron 65, 280–290
- 87 Wixted, J.T. (2004) The psychology and neuroscience of forgetting. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 55, 235–269
- 88 Givens, B. (1996) Stimulus-evoked resetting of the dentate theta rhythm: relation to working memory. *NeuroReport* 8, 159-163
- 89 Bennet, G. (1995) Low doses of ethanol impair spatial working memory and reduce hippocampal theta activity. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 19, 763–767
- 90 Lister, R.G. et al. (1987) Ethanol intoxication and memory. In Alcoholism: Recent Developments and New Directions (Galanter, M., ed.), pp. 111–127, Plenum
- 91 Melchior, C.L. et al. (1993) A low dose of ethanol impairs working memory in mice in a win-shift foraging paradigm. Alcohol 10, 491–493
- 92 Parker, E.S. et al. (1981) The alcohol facilitation effect on memory: a dose-response study. Psychopharmacology 74, 88–92
- 93 Parker, E.S. et al. (1980) Retrograde enhancement of human memory with alcohol. Psychopharmacology 69, 219–222
- 94 Curran, H.V. et al. (1995) Encoding, remembering and awareness in lorazepam-induced amnesia. Psychopharmacology 122, 187–193
- 95 Fillmore, M.T. *et al.* (2001) Retrograde facilitation of memory by triazolam: effects on automatic processes. *Psychopharmacology* 158, 314–321
- 96 Ghoneim, M.M. et al. (1984) Dose-response analysis of the behavioral effects of diazepam: I. Learning and memory. Psychopharmacology 82, 291–295
- 97 Rasch, B.H. et al. (2006) Combined blockade of cholinergic receptors shifts the brain from stimulus encoding to memory consolidation. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 18, 793–802
- 98 Blitzer, R.D. et al. (1990) Long-term potentiation in rat hippocampus is inhibited by low concentrations of ethanol. Brain Res. 537, 203–208
- 99 del Cerro, S. et al. (1992) Benzodiazepines block long-term potentiation in slices of hippocampus and piriform cortex. Neuroscience 49, 1-6
- 100 Hasselmo, M.E. (2006) The role of acetylcholine in learning and memory. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 16, 710-715
- 101 Dupret, D. et al. (2010) The reorganization and reactivation of hippocampal maps predict spatial memory performance. Nat. Neurosci. 13, 995-1002
- 102 Dewar, M. et al. (2009) Delaying interference enhances memory consolidation in amnesic patients. Neuropsychology 23, 627-634
- 103 Hasselmo, M.E. (1999) Neuromodulation: acetylcholine and memory consolidation. Trends Cogn. Sci. 3, 351–359
- 104 Kametani, H. and Kawamura, H. (1990) Alterations in acetylcholine release in the rat hippocampus during sleep-wakefulness detected by intracerebral dialysis. *Life Sci.* 47, 421–426
- 105 Siok, C.J. *et al.* (2006) Activation of alpha7 acetylcholine receptors augments stimulation-induced hippocampal theta oscillation. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 23, 570–574
- 106 Bland, B.H. and Oddie, S.D. (2001) Theta band oscillation and synchrony in the hippocampal formation and associated structures: the case for its role in sensorimotor integration. *Behav. Brain Res.* 127, 119–136
- 107 Hasselmo, M.E. and McGaughy, J. (2004) High acetylcholine levels set circuit dynamics for attention and encoding and low acetylcholine levels set dynamics for consolidation. *Prog. Brain Res.* 145, 207–231
- 108 Sirota, A. et al. (2003) Communication between neocortex and hippocampus during sleep in rodents. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 2065–2069
- 109 Marrosu, F. et al. (1995) Microdialysis measurement of cortical and hippocampal acetylcholine release during sleep-wake cycle in freely moving cats. Brain Res. 671, 329–332
- 110 Steriade, M. et al. (1994) Synchronized sleep oscillations and their paroxysmal developments. Trends Neurosci. 17, 199–208
- 111 Buzsaki, G. (1986) Hippocampal sharp waves: their origin and significance. Brain Res. 398, 242–252
- 112 Chrobak, J.J. and Buzsaki, G. (1996) High-frequency oscillations in the output networks of the hippocampal-entorhinal axis of the freely behaving rat. J. Neurosci. 16, 3056–3066
- 113 Wilson, M.A. and McNaughton, B.L. (1994) Reactivation of hippocampal ensemble memories during sleep. *Science* 265, 676–679

- 114 Ballarini, F. et al. (2009) Behavioral tagging is a general mechanism of long-term memory formation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 14599–14604
- 115 Frey, U. and Morris, R.G.M. (1997) Synaptic tagging and long-term potentiation. *Nature* 385, 533–536
- 116 Barrett, A.B. *et al.* (2009) State-based model of long-term potentiation and synaptic tagging and capture. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 5, e1000259
- 117 Redondo, R.L. and Morris, R.G.M. (2011) Making memories last: the synaptic tagging and capture hypothesis. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 12, 17–30
- 118 Moncada, D. and Viola, H. (2007) Induction of long-term memory by exposure to novelty requires protein synthesis: evidence for a behavioral tagging. J. Neurosci. 27, 7476–7481
- 119 Wang, S.H. et al. (2010) Relevance of synaptic tagging and capture to the persistence of long-term potentiation and everyday spatial memory. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 19537–19542

- 120 Korman, M. et al. (2007) Daytime sleep condenses the time course of motor memory consolidation. Nat. Neurosci. 10, 1206–1213
- 121 Ellenbogen, J.M. *et al.* (2006) Interfering with theories of sleep and memory: sleep, declarative memory, and associative interference. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 1290–1294
- 122 Brophy, L.M. et al. (2009) Interference effects on commonly used memory tasks. Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol. 24, 105–112
- 123 Hobson, J.A. and Pace-Schott, E.F. (2002) The cognitive neuroscience of sleep: neuronal systems, consciousness and learning. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 679–693
- 124 Frankland, P.W. et al. (2001) Alpha-CaMKII-dependent plasticity in the cortex is required for permanent memory. Nature 411, 309-313
- 125 Molle, M. et al. (2002) Grouping of spindle activity during slow oscillations in human non-rapid eye movement sleep. J. Neurosci. 22, 10941–10947
- 126 Tononi, G. and Cirelli, C. (2006) Sleep function and synaptic homeostasis. *Sleep Med. Rev.* 10, 49–62